Annex 2 **Competition assessment card**

1. **Basic information**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1. | Name of the competition team |  |
| 2. | Name of the University |  |
| 3. | Title/subject of the prototype |  |
| 4. | Names of the authors of the prototype solution |  |

1. **Evaluation of the competition project**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Evaluation criteria for the prototype** | **Maximum number of points** | **Total number of points** | **Comments** |
| **1.** | **Compliance with Sustainable Development Goals, in particular goals 3, 11, 13, 7 or 12***Target 3: Ensure a healthy life for all at all ages and promote well-being**Goal 7 Ensure affordable access to sources of stable, sustainable and modern energy for all**Target 11 Make cities and human settlements safe, stable, sustainable and inclusive**Target 12 Ensure patterns of sustainable consumption and production**Target13 Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts* | 25 |  |  |
| **2.** | **Inclusiveness and social utility and impact** **on the local environment** |
| 2.1 | identification of a social problem for the local population*Should result from stakeholder analysis and user research* | 4 |  |  |
| 2.2 | elimination of exclusions based on age, gender, health status, etc. | 4 |  |  |
| 2.3 | development of the local community in the context of deliberative democracy | 4 |  |  |
| 2.4 | impact of the proposed solution on the local environment | 4 |  |  |
| 2.5 | impact of the technology used on environmental sustainability*impact on carbon footprint, impact on water consumption, energy consumption, resource consumption, environmental pollution* | 4 |  |  |
| **3.** | **Innovation and technologies used** |
| 3.1 | level of innovation of the solution*How creative and modern the solution is?**How it improves what already exists?* | 15 |  |  |
| 3.2 | the types of technologies involved in the Smart City concept and their application in the project*ICT, IoT, AI, Sensors, Block Chain, Digital Twin, AR, Big Data* | 10 |  |  |
| **4.** | **Feasibility and implementability in other** **cities – genericity** |
| 4.1 | applicability and limitations of the idea | 5 |  |  |
| 4.2 | quality of the business model | 5 |  |  |
| **5.** | **Presentation of the prototype**  |
| 5.1 | the tools used to present the idea | 10 |  |  |
| 5.2 | form of presentation *Clarity of idea presentation.* *The structure and quality of the final presentation.* | 10 |  |  |
| **TOTAL** | **100** |  |  |

1. **Additional comments**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

*……………………… ………………….…………………………….*

*Date Signature of the prototype evaluator*